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## Asymmetric cryptography

Two different keys are used: one for encryption, one for decryption

if knowledge about one gives no information about the other
$\Longrightarrow$ one of them can be made public

## Public-key encryption

The encryption key $k_{e}$ is made public ( $k_{d}$ kept private)
anyone can write to Bob, but only he can read


As implemented by e.g. PGP/GPG

## Famous "asymmetric" problems

- factorization of large integers
$\Longrightarrow$ RSA
- discrete logarithm problem (DLP)
$\Longrightarrow$ Diffie-Hellman, ElGamal, DSA
- DLP over an elliptic curve
$\Longrightarrow$ elliptic curve cryptography (ECC): ECDH, ECDSA, ...
- shortest vector problem
$\Longrightarrow$ lattice-based cryptography


## For two $\ell$-bit factors

## Factorization is asymptotically much slower than multiplication



Try it for yourself

## Modular arithmetic

## Recall (?)

## Definition

We say that $a \underset{n}{\bar{n}} b$ when $n$ divides $b-a$, i.e. $b=a+k n$ for some integer $k$ i.e. $a$ and $b$ are equal, up to (" modulo") a multiple of $n$

Remarks:

- $a \equiv \bar{n} b$ if and only if $a \% n=b \% n$
- If $a \underset{\bar{n}}{ } b$ and $c \underset{\bar{n}}{ } d$, then $(a+c) \equiv \underset{n}{\bar{n}}(b+d)$ and $(a c) \overline{\bar{n}}(b d)$


## Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (1977)

Fix some (large) integer $n$.

$$
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{C}=\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}, \text { identified with } \llbracket 0, n \llbracket
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E(e, m): \equiv \equiv_{n} m^{e} \\
D(d, c): \equiv_{n} c^{d}
\end{array}\right.
$$

based on modular exponentiation

## Easy enough!

$\square$

Or is it? (try a larger $\ell$ )

## Modular exponentiation

Naive algorithm to compute $m^{e} \% n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=1 \\
& \text { for } i \text { in } \llbracket 1, e \rrbracket: \\
& \quad r=r * m \\
& \text { return } r \% n
\end{aligned}
$$

Problems:

- intermediate result $r$ gets LARGE
- takes e iterations


## Modular exponentiation (again)

Better algorithm to compute $m^{e} \% n$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=1 \\
& \text { for } i \text { in } \llbracket 1, e \rrbracket \text { : } \\
& \quad r=(r * m) \% n \\
& \text { return } r
\end{aligned}
$$

But:

- still takes e modular multiplications ...


## Fast exponentiation, v. 1 ( R to L )

Write $e=b_{\ell} \cdots b_{0}$ in base 2, so that $m^{e} \underset{n}{\equiv} m^{b_{0}}\left(m^{2}\right)^{b_{1}}\left(m^{4}\right)^{b_{2}} \cdots\left(m^{2^{\ell}}\right)^{b_{\ell}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=1, q=m \\
& \text { for } i \text { in } \llbracket 0, \ell \rrbracket: \\
& \text { if } b_{i}=1 \text { : } \\
& \quad r=(r * q) \% n \\
& \quad q=q^{2} \% n \\
& \text { return } r
\end{aligned}
$$

at most $2(\ell+1)$ modular multiplications!

## Example (v.1)

Let's compute $33^{29}$ modulo 227 .
With $m=33, n=227$ and $e=29=11101$ :

| $i$ |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $q$ |  | 33 | 181 | 73 | 108 | 87 |
| $r$ | 1 | 33 | 33 | 139 | 30 | 113 |

so $33^{29} \underset{227}{=} 113$ (indeed).

## Fast exponentiation, v. 2 ( L to R )

Can get rid of the running variable $q$ by writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{e} \equiv \underset{n}{\bar{n}}\left(\cdots\left(\left(m^{b_{\ell}}\right)^{2} m^{b_{\ell-1}}\right)^{2} m^{b_{\ell-2}} \cdots m^{b_{1}}\right)^{2} m^{b_{0}} \\
& \quad r=1 \\
& \quad \text { for } i \text { in } \llbracket 0, \ell \rrbracket: \\
& \quad r=r^{2} \% n \\
& \quad \text { if } b_{\ell-i}=1: \\
& \quad r=(r * m) \% n
\end{aligned}
$$

return $r$

In both cases: running time in $\mathcal{O}\left(\log _{2} e\right)$

## Example (v.2)

With the same values as before:

| $i$ |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $r$ | 1 | 33 | 71 | 189 | 82 | 113 |

which is coherent with previous results (but uses half the memory).

## Ok: that's fast



Indeed!

## The RSA cipher (again)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E(e, m) \equiv m^{e} \\
D(d, c) \underset{n}{\equiv} c^{d}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Correct decryption:

Why should there exist such exponents such that

$$
m^{d e} \equiv \underset{n}{\equiv} m \quad \forall_{m} \quad ? ?
$$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem

If $n$ can be written as a product of coprime factors

$$
n=n_{1} \cdots n_{k},
$$

then there is an isomorphism of rings

$$
\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} / n_{1} \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} / n_{k} \mathbb{Z}
$$

- $(\rightarrow)$ take remainders
- $(\leftarrow)$ use Bézout's relation


## Example

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 12 \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}
$$

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 11 |

## Euler's $\varphi$ function

Consider the number $\varphi(n)$ of integers in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ that are coprime with $n$.
Theorem (Fermat)
For all $x$ coprime with $n$,

$$
x^{\varphi(n)} \underset{n}{\equiv} 1 .
$$

i.e., modular exponents work modulo $\varphi(n): x^{a} \underset{n}{\bar{\equiv}} x^{b}$ when $a \underset{\varphi(n)}{\overline{\overline{(n}}} b$.

## Almost there

Special case: suppose $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ is a product of distinct prime factors, so that

$$
\varphi(n)=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{k}-1\right)
$$

## Corollary

In this case, if $f \underset{\varphi(n)}{\equiv} 1$ then $x^{f} \underset{n}{\bar{n}} x \quad \forall x$.
Hence: it is sufficient to ask that the RSA exponents satisfy

$$
d e \underset{\varphi(n)}{\overline{\overline{( })}} 1
$$

## A small (thus very insecure) working example

```
n = 74989
phi = 69600
e = 52027
d}=1096
d*e mod phi = 1
message: 60211
encryption: 13247
decryption: 60211
```

Try here
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## Security of RSA

Public: $n, e, c$.

The attacker would like to recover $m$.

- Brute force on $m$ : search for $x$ such that

$$
x^{e} \overline{\bar{n}} c
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ Impractical if $n$ large

- Better: try to recover the decryption exponent $d$, then decrypt $m$ like Bob

$$
m \equiv \equiv_{n} \sqrt[e]{c} \equiv \equiv_{n} c^{d}
$$

## Trivial is $\varphi(n)$ is known

Given $e$ and $\varphi(n)$, the extended Euclidean algorithm easily solves

$$
d e \underset{\varphi(n)}{\overline{\overline{(n)}}} 1
$$

But: computing $\varphi(n)$ from $n$ is (assumed to be) hard.
Best known algorithm: factor $n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}$ and use

$$
\varphi(n)=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(p_{k}-1\right)
$$

## Factoring vs. splitting

Factoring $n$ : finding the complete list of prime factors $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ for which

$$
n=p_{1} \cdots p_{k}
$$

Splitting $n$ : finding one prime factor $p$ of $n$.

Essentially all known factorization algorithms are of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { factors }=[] \\
& \text { while } n>1 \text { : } \\
& \qquad p=\operatorname{split}(n) \\
& \text { factors }+=[p] \\
& n=n / / p
\end{aligned}
$$

## Trial division

The simplest splitting algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=2 \\
& \text { while } p \leq \sqrt{n} \text { : } \\
& \text { if } n \% p=0 \text { return } p \\
& \quad p+=1 \\
& \text { return } n
\end{aligned}
$$

Quickly finds small $\left(\leq 2^{64}\right)$ prime factors
$\Longrightarrow$ smallest prime factor should be as large as possible
$p_{i} \approx \sqrt[k]{n} \Longrightarrow$ take $k=2$ ! (why not $k=1$ ?)

## Other factorization algorithms

There is a very large litterature devoted to the subject of integer factorization.
As of 2019, the best general purpose algorithm is the General Number Field Sieve (GNFS) that factors an $\ell$-bit integer in

$$
\approx 5.5^{\ell^{1 / 3}(\ln \ell)^{2 / 3}} \text { time. }
$$

Public factorization record: RSA-728 (2009)

## Consequence on key length



## According to RSA Security, Inc.

| Symmetric key size | Equivalent RSA key size |
| :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 1024 |
| 112 | 2028 |
| 128 | 3072 |
| 256 | 15360 |
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## Key generation

Recovering the decryption key should be hard for the attacker...
... but easy for Alice and Bob!
Ok since they are free to choose the prime factors of $n$.
Key generation: produces a RSA triple ( $n, d, e$ )

## Prime factors

To generate an $\ell$-bit RSA modulus $n$ :

- generate two random $\ell / 2$-bit prime numbers $p$ and $q$
- set $n:=p \cdot q$

To generate a random prime number:

- generate random integers until you get a prime!
(there are some very fast primality tests)
Note: density of prime numbers around $x$ is $\approx \frac{1}{\ln x}$


## RSA exponents

- Knowing $p$ and $q$, compute $\varphi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$
- Pick e coprime with $\varphi(n)$ (doesn't even need to be chosen randomly)
- Compute $d$ such that

$$
d e \underset{\varphi(n)}{\overline{\overline{( })}} 1
$$

using the extended Euclidean algorithm (XGCD)
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## Real-world RSA

The plain RSA described above has all sorts of problems:

- malleability: $E\left(e, m_{1}\right) \cdot E\left(e, m_{2}\right)=E\left(e, m_{1} \cdot m_{2}\right)$
- lack of randomness
- fixed size of plaintext
- ...

In practice, a suitable padding scheme needs to be used.
$\Longrightarrow$ use a library!

